

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

MELVYN KLEIN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SEACOAST BANKING CORPORATION OF
FLORIDA,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.:

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Melvyn Klein (“Plaintiff”), by his undersigned attorneys, alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to his own acts and information and belief as to all other matters, based upon the investigation conducted by and through his attorneys:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 27(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa(a).

2. In connection with the acts, omissions, conduct and wrongs alleged herein, Defendant used the mails and the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce.

3. Venue is proper in this District because, as allowed under Section 27(a) of the Exchange Act, this District is where the violation at issue in this case occurred because numerous Solicitations, including Plaintiff’s, were or will be mailed to Seacoast shareholders residing in this District.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff was a shareholder of Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida (“Seacoast” or the “Company”) as of the close of business on March 26, 2018. Plaintiff is entitled to notice of

any annual meeting of the Company's shareholders and he is entitled to participate in and vote at any such annual meeting.

5. Seacoast is a corporation organized under the laws of Florida. It maintains its principal place of business at 815 Colorado Avenue, Stuart, Florida 34994. The Company operates as the bank holding company for Seacoast National Bank ("SNB"). SNB provides financial services to consumers and businesses in Florida. SNB offers mortgage-related services, retail and commercial banking and wealth management. The Solicitation states that "[a]s of the Record Date, 46,982,399 shares of common stock [of the Company] were outstanding." Seacoast's stock trades under the symbol "SBCF" on the NASDAQ stock exchange.

FACTS

6. This is a direct shareholder action under Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act.

7. Plaintiff is a long-standing shareholder of the Company and seeks relief for the Company's failure to comply with certain disclosure rules concerning the Company's proxy statements that were issues through the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under the Exchange Act, 17 C.F.R. Sec. 240.14a-101 (Item 10(a)(1) ("Item 10(a)(1)").

8. Item 10(a)(1) of Schedule 14A, 17 C.F.R. § 240.14a-101, sets forth the information required to be included in a proxy statement by 15 U.S.C. § 78n(a), and requires that "[i]f action is to be taken with respect to any [compensation] plan pursuant to which cash or noncash compensation may be paid or distributed" certain information must be furnished to shareholders.

9. Defendant Seacoast furnished shareholders with a definitive proxy statement issued through the SEC on Schedule 14(a) on April 6, 2018 (the "Solicitation") which included five shareholder proposals that required shareholder action to approve.

10. The Solicitation concerns the annual meeting of Seacoast shareholders scheduled for May 24, 2018 (including any adjournments or postponements thereof, the “Annual Meeting”).

11. The Solicitation claims that “[w]e have mailed to certain shareholders a notice of internet availability of proxy materials on or about April 6, 2018.” The Solicitation also states that “This notice contains instructions on how to access and review the proxy materials on the internet. The notice also contains instructions on how to submit your proxy on the internet or by phone, or, if you prefer, to obtain a paper or email copy of the proxy materials.”

12. The third proposal (“Third Proposal”) seeks a vote by shareholders on a proposal to “[a]mend the Company’s 2013 Incentive Plan to Increase Authorized Shares. To approve the proposed amendment to the 2013 Incentive Plan to increase the number of shares authorized to be issued under the Plan.” (“Third Proposal 3).

13. The purpose of the Seacoast Banking Corporation of Florida 2013 Incentive Plan (the “2013 Plan”), as per the Solicitation, “is to promote the success, and enhance the value of the Company success by linking the personal interests of its employees, officers, and directors to those of the Company’s shareholders, and by providing participants with an incentive for outstanding performance. The Plan is further intended to provide flexibility to the Company in its ability to motivate, attract, and retain the services of employees, officers, directors, consultants and advisors upon whose judgment, interest, and special effort the successful conduct of the Company’s operation is largely dependent.”

14. As described below, the Third Proposal does not comply with the SEC’s disclosure requirements for proxy statements, set forth in Item 10(a)(1) of 17 C.F.R. § 240.14a-101.

15. Because of Defendant’s failure to comply with 17 C.F.R. § 240.14a-101, Plaintiff, a shareholder of the Company whose vote is solicited, brings this direct action pursuant to Section

14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), 15 U.S.C. § 78n(a), and the rules and regulations of the SEC, to enjoin a vote by its shareholders on the Third Proposal in the Solicitation at the Annual Meeting scheduled for May 24, 2018.

16. Seacoast’s Board of Directors (the “Board”) determined that March 26, 2018 (the “Record Date”) would be the record date for voting shares at the Annual Meeting as per the Solicitation.

17. The Third Proposal requests that Seacoast’s shareholders vote to “approve an amendment to [the 2013 Plan] ... to add 1,250,000 shares to the 2013 Plan.”

18. The Company is seeking shareholder approval of the Third Proposal, in pertinent part, for the following reason:

Our 2013 Plan is the only plan under which equity-based compensation may currently be awarded to our executive officers and employees. As of the Record Date, there were 584,167 shares of our common stock remaining available for the grant of equity awards under the 2013 Plan. In order to enable us to continue to offer meaningful equity-based incentives, as well as cash-based incentives, to our employees, officers, directors and consultants, our board of directors believes that it is both necessary and appropriate to increase the number of shares of our common stock available for these purposes.

19. The Third Proposal does not provide the legally required information because it does not provide sufficient information for Seacoast stockholders to understand how many participants are eligible to participate in the Plan, and thus it does not comply with Item 10(a)(1) of Schedule 14A, 17 C.F.R. § 240.14a-101, which requires:

Item 10. Compensation Plans. If action is to be taken with respect to any plan pursuant to which cash or noncash compensation may be paid or distributed, furnish the following information:

(a) Plans subject to security holder action.

- (1) Describe briefly the material features of the plan being acted upon, identify each class of persons who will be eligible to participate therein, indicate the approximate number of persons in each such class, and state the basis of such participation.

20. Instead of providing the “approximate number of persons in each such class, and ... the basis of such participation,” the Third Proposal only tells stockholders this information about who will receive these awards:

Administration

The 2013 Plan will be administered by the Compensation and Governance Committee, or such other committee as may be determined by the Board (the “Committee”). The Committee will have the authority to grant awards; designate participants; determine the type or types of awards to be granted to each participant and the number, terms and conditions thereof; establish, adopt or revise any rules and regulations as it may deem advisable to administer the 2013 Plan; prescribe forms of award certificates, and make any rules, interpretations, and any and all other decisions and determinations that may be required under the 2013 Plan. The Board may at any time administer the 2013 Plan. If it does so, it will have all the powers of the Committee under the 2013 Plan.

In addition, the Board or the Committee may expressly delegate to a special committee some or all of the Committee’s authority, within specified parameters, to grant awards to eligible participants who, at the time of grant, are not executive officers.

21. The Solicitation does not disclose the approximate number of persons, by class, who qualify as eligible participants under the Plan. The Solicitation also states with respect to the CEO pay ratio section, that the Company had “over 600 associates as of December 31, 2017, which includes all full-time employees throughout the year not including any acquired associates”, but that information is not current and does not set forth the number of participants eligible to participate in the 2013 Plan or other information required by the SEC.

22. The 2013 Plan, which is available at https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/730708/000114420413020849/v340250_def14a.htm,

defines “Eligible Participant” as “an employee (including a leased employee), officer, director, consultant or advisor of the Company or any Affiliate.” “Affiliate” is defined as “(i) any Subsidiary or Parent, or (ii) an entity that directly or through one or more intermediaries’ controls, is controlled by or is under common control with, the Company, as determined by the Committee.”

23. Because of the above-mentioned defects, the Solicitation does not properly inform shareholders how many participants may be awarded the 1,250,000 shares that Seacoast’s shareholders are being asked to approve or the basis for their receipt of such awards. Therefore, the Company must disclose to its shareholders, before they vote, the approximate number of persons in each class of persons who will be eligible to participate in the 2013 Plan, and state the basis of such participation.

24. This contravention of the SEC rules renders the Solicitation unlawful under § 14(a) of the Exchange Act.

25. The preceding paragraphs state a direct claim for relief against Seacoast under § 14(a) of the Exchange Act for acting in contravention of the rules and regulations prescribed by the SEC.

26. As a result of these actions, Plaintiff will be injured, and has no adequate remedy at law. He will suffer irreparable harm if no action is taken to ameliorate this harm because, in addition to being forced to vote without this information, the Plan allows for the issuance of 1.25 million shares worth approximately \$33.5 million, to be granted to an unknown number of persons who qualify as Eligible.

27. The Court’s assistance is required to take action to enjoin the vote beforehand to prevent shares from being distributed to participants based on an uninformed vote that lacks proper

and informed shareholder approval because unwinding awards following the Annual Meeting would be impracticable.

28. To prevent injury, the Court should grant injunctive relief and stay the shareholder vote unless the Company issues a supplemental proxy statement that complies with 17 C.F.R. §240.14a-101, Item 10(a)(1), and which is timely furnished to shareholders.

29. Seacoast should be enjoined from presenting the Third Proposal for a shareholder vote at the Annual Meeting or certifying or otherwise accepting any vote cast in connection with the Third Proposal unless the appropriate amendments are timely made.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment and preliminary and permanent relief, including injunctive relief, in his favor, and against Seacoast, as follows:

(A) A preliminary and permanent injunction, enjoining Seacoast from:

i. presenting the Third Proposal for a shareholder vote at the Annual Meeting unless a supplemental proxy statement that complies with 17 C.F.R. §240.14a-101, Item 10(a)(1) is timely furnished to shareholders;

ii. certifying or otherwise accepting any vote cast, by proxy or in person, by or on behalf of any Seacoast stockholder in connection with the Third Proposal in the Solicitation unless a supplemental proxy statement that complies with 17 C.F.R. §240.14a-101, Item 10(a)(1) is timely furnished to shareholders;

(B) A preliminary and permanent injunction requiring Seacoast to furnish a supplemental proxy statement that complies with 17 C.F.R. §240.14a-101, Item 10(a)(1);

(C) A preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Seacoast from otherwise proceeding at its Annual Meeting at the set time or at any other time, in a manner inconsistent with Item 10(a)(1);

(D) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and expenses incurred in bringing this action and in creating a benefit for all of Seacoast's shareholders to the extent that a common benefit is conferred, and;

(E) Granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: April 18, 2018

/s/ Thomas J. McKenna
Thomas J. McKenna, Esq.
Gregory M. Egleston, Esq.
GAINEY McKENNA & EGLESTON
Attorneys for Plaintiff
440 Park Avenue South, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10016
Tel: (212) 983-1300
Fax: (212) 983-0383
tjmckenna@gme-law.com
gegleston@gme-law.com